



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/475,881		12/30/1999	JAMES R. ALTENDAHL	E-915	7004	
919	7590	10/27/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	BOWES I		BACKER	BACKER, FIRMIN		
35 WATI P.O. BOX	ERVIEW DI K 3000	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MSC 26-	22		3621			
SHELTO	N, CT 064	184-8000	DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4							
•	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/475,881	ALTENDAHL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Firmin Backer	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	Databas 2002						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	is action is non-final.						
,		re presention as to the marite is					
 Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims 							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •						
Attachment(s)	•	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 12	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

-Application/Control Number: 09/475,881 Page 1

Art Unit: 3621

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 11th, has been entered.

Amendment

2. An amendment has been filed on October 10th, 2003 In which claim 1 and 5 have been amended. Claims 1-8 remain Pending in the letter.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 09/475,881

Art Unit: 3621

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barns-Slavin et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,995,950) in view of Praisner et al (U.S. PG Pub 2002/0174030).
- As per claims 1 and 5, Barns-Slavin et al teach a set of load planning tables for use in a 4. system for managing shipping parcels (carrier management system), the set of load planning tables comprising a group table (memory 22), for storing group identifiers (parcel identification number), each group identifier used to identify a group of one or more parcels (see fig 2, column 3 lines 37-64), a load table for storing records of attributes associated with a load, which is in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field and a load identifier field (see column 2 lines 20-48), a stop table, for storing records of attributes associated with a stop (destination address), which is in turn associated with a load, which is in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field, a load identifier field, a stop identifier field, and a drop bill number field (see fig 2, column 3 lines 37-64), a shipment header table, for storing records of attributes associated with a shipment, which is in turn optionally associated with a stop, which is in turn associated with a load, which is in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field, a load identifier field, a bill number field that serves as a shipment identifier and optionally relates the record to a record in the stop table via the drop bill number field in records of the stop table, an origin identifier field, and a destination identifier field (see column 2 lines 20-48) and a cost table, for storing records of attributes associated with a component cost of a load, which is in turn associated with a load, which is, in turn associated with a group, each record having a group identifier field, a load identifier field, a field indicating

-Application/Control Number: 09/475,881

Art Unit: 3621

a cost type, and a field indicating a cost amount I(see column 6 lines 22-7 line15). Barns-Slavin et al fail to teach an inventive concept wherein the set of load planning tables applies business rules to a consignee party a shipper party and to a third parry that a priority table is used to determine a time of arrival, a party responsible for payment a destination location and applies the business rules to event and subevents to determine delivery parameters. However Praisner et al teach an inventive concept wherein the set of load planning tables applies business rules to a consignee party a shipper party and to a third parry that a priority table is used to determine a time of arrival, a party responsible for payment a destination location and applies the business rules to event and subevents to determine delivery parameters (see paragraph 0056 and 0057). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Barns-Slavin et al's inventive concept to include Praisner et al's an inventive concept wherein the set of load planning tables applies business rules to a consignee party a shipper party and to a third parry that a priority table is used to determine a time of arrival, a party responsible for payment a destination location and applies the business rules to event and subevents to determine delivery parameters because this would have enhance the product delivery mechanism.

5. As per claims 2, 3, 6 and 7, Barns-Slavin et al teach a set of load planning tables wherein the records of the load table also include fields for indicating a master load identifier, a carrier identifier, a tariff identifier, an origin and a destination, a field for indicating a pro number, a field for an inbound/outbound indicator, a field indicating an origin, and a field indicating a destination (see column 2 lines 20-48).

-Application/Control Number: 09/475,881

Art Unit: 3621

6. As per claims 4 and 8, Barns-Slavin et al teach a set of load planning tables wherein the records of the stop table also include fields for indicating a drop load identifier, a drop distribution center identifier, and a parent load (see column 2 lines 20-48).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

Firmin Backer October 22, 2003